

*А. А. Сухова,  
студентка факультета экономики торговли и товароведения,  
Российский экономический университет им. Г.В. Плеханова,  
Москва, Российская Федерация,  
В. Ю. Шишкова,  
студентка факультета экономики торговли и товароведения,  
Российский экономический университет им. Г.В. Плеханова,  
Москва, Российская Федерация,  
В. В. Семина,  
доцент кафедры иностранных языков №2,  
Российский экономический университет им. Г.В. Плеханова,  
Москва, Российская Федерация*

## **ОСОБЕННОСТИ ОБЩЕНИЯ В АНГЛОЯЗЫЧНОЙ И РУССКОЯЗЫЧНОЙ ИНТЕРНЕТ-СРЕДЕ**

*Аннотация.* В данной работе рассматриваются различия между устным, прямым и письменным общением в Интернете. В ней описываются способы, которые компенсируют отсутствие средств традиционного устного общения в письменной речи, а также способы общения, которые недоступны в устной речи.

**Ключевые слова:** язык, Интернет, Сеть, общение, сленг.

*A. A. Sukhova,  
student of Faculty of Trade Economics and Commodity Science,  
Plekhanov Russian University of Economics,  
Moscow, Russian Federation,  
V. Ur. Shishkova,  
student of Faculty of Trade Economics and Commodity Science,  
Plekhanov Russian University of Economics,  
Moscow, Russian Federation,  
V. V. Semina,  
Associate Professor of Department of Foreign Languages №2,  
Plekhanov Russian University of Economics,  
Moscow, Russian Federation*

## **FEATURES OF COMMUNICATION IN THE ENGLISH AND RUSSIAN-SPEAKING INTERNET ENVIRONMENT**

***Abstract.** This paper examines the differences between oral, direct communication, and written communication on the Internet. It describes ways that compensate for the lack of means of traditional oral communication in written speech, as well as ways of communication that are not available in oral speech.*

**Key words:** language, Internet, Web, direct communication, slang

It is no doubt that systems of instant messaging, including social networks and messengers, like Whatsapp, Instagram, Facebook, and others have changed the way we live and the way we speak. Norms that define standard language drastically differ from messages we send each other every day.

Humans' communicative activity is rapidly moving into the space of the Internet, and direct, face-to-face, communication is rapidly losing its popularity as it is adjacent to mediated communication. Direct communication is performed with the assistance of arms, head, torso, vocal cords, and other organs that nature gave us. In contrast, mediated communication could not exist without the help of special tools for communication and information exchange. These tools might be any items or systems of signs, being taken down symbols on various media: printed ones, radio, TV, Web, etc.). Thus, we have observed two forms of communication that coexist: direct and indirect mediated through the Web. The second one is actively expanding the boundaries, it is widescale and almost continuous: modern people have a huge number of contacts a wide circle of communication. Internet-mediated communication has the same purpose that direct communication does, so they have no difference in its essence. It is the interaction of people aimed at exchanging information, networking, pooling efforts. The functions remain the same, helping a person to develop, to engage in culture, to self-improve, and to socialize. Nevertheless, communication using the Internet is another form of communication that has its own unique characteristics [4, 6].

There has been an interest in studying peculiarities of Web language for the last ten years, since the social media phenomenon happened. So it is quite a studied question

and some linguists have been researching the way we text on the Internet for a long time.

There are several ways of delivering messages to each other, some of them are private (through chats, SMS and text, audio, video messages) and public communication (in forums, blogs, social media posts, and various comments on them).

The development of the Internet has led to a new place for communication, where a new type of speech has emerged. There are debates among researchers on how exactly to identify and describe the emerging phenomenon [1]. Many linguists have raised the issue of contrasting oral and written, colloquial, and formal speech. Whereas written speech for many centuries was the language of books, perceived visually, used sophisticated vocabulary and grammar, but oral speech, in contrast, was the speech of day-to-day communication used in daily life, perceived audial. There are different approaches defining this type of speech as a mixture of the two forms, and as a written record of colloquial vocabulary. According to Professor D. Kristal, Netspeak is more like written speech, which takes on the characteristics of spoken language, than spoken language recorded in writing [7]. The difference in approaches, in our opinion, may be due to different objectives and material of the study [6].

Web communication lacks verbal and visual contact between interlocutors. People try to compensate for this deficiency and resort to various graphic, punctuation and other methods of expressing their emotions.

Having researched and summarized the available materials and research, we can attribute several points to the features of communication on the Web.

As noted earlier, it is a combination of two types of speech. Internet speech is expressive, enriched with "common", everyday, colloquial vocabulary. The interlocutor may receive an immediate response or may wait for a long time (as in written communication through letters).

Similarly, in an attempt to convey emotionality, express their creativity and show their personality, users use a wide range of linguistic means. Punctuation, for example,

is one of them. In the context of our study, the linguist David Crystal suggests that "with punctuation we share grammatical relations, prosody... has a primary communicative function - to communicate attitudes, emotions, personal or professional identity" [8].

One of the most common are vertical segmentation marks, namely ellipses and dashes. They perform their main function - to divide the statement into semantic parts.

The creativity of the authors is revealed in the use of the possibilities of combining different punctuation marks. The free use of punctuation marks is liberating and allows one to express one's individuality.

For example, let us compare the same sentence in Web and face-to-face communication:

*Direct:* "Have you noticed that they finally raised our salary" (smiling, laughing)

*Web:* "have u noticed??? they finally raised our salary..... :))) "

We use prosody in direct communication. Prosodic language means include intonation, volume, rhythm, and pauses. It helps to represent different emotional states of the speaking person. While talking face to face, we see the other person's facial expressions, gestures, and posture, besides hearing the other person's voice. These physical signals from the speaker are non-verbal, therefore belong to non-verbal means communication and called kineme. However, most kinemes have a natural meaning, for example, a smile means joy. Elements of kinemes appears as an independent and integral part of the language flow. Emails, chats, meetings and other computer communication processes differs from face-to-face communication. It is not possible to completely facilitate the exchange of non-verbal signals. Therefore, an additional online tool that can use computer technology to objectively, quickly, emotionally vividly and adequately reflect one's emotions and feelings in transmitted messages. In this new form of mediated communication under conditions of emotional shortage, words, sentences, or even the entire sentence that express an emotional state are replaced by conventional,

generally accepted by interlocutors set of letters, phrases, or graphic images with the use of special signs, which is called smile, or emoji. It is a stylized graphic image or a set of symbols that express a person's unique emotions and usually give the text an emotional color [2, 6].

Tools such as changing the text case (using Caps Lock) and phonetic notation, together with the graphic change of the word's appearance, also help to compensate for the lack of emotionality.

When communicating on the Internet, a special form of language and words emerges: the active process of using and creating irregular word constructions: abbreviations (acronyms and abbreviations among them). These can be both a more active use of already existing and generally accepted abbreviations and the creation of neologisms [3].

However, there are also differences between Russian-language and English-language electronic communication. In English, abbreviations are more common. The current abbreviations in the English culture of speech are formed in different ways. Some of them use numbers to quickly spell words or parts of words. Meanwhile, the abbreviation is used in the role of homonyms: 2nite (tonight), 4U (for you). There are those who use capital letters instead of the usual sentence: BAU (business as usual). You can also find mixed abbreviations: N2S (of course).

For example, let us compare the same sentence in Web and face-to-face communication:

*Direct:* "I'll get us some coffee and come back"

*Web:* "gonna get us some coffee, BRB"

In conclusion, our article states that communicating on the Internet varies from communicating in person. Direct communication has evolved over thousands of years under the influence of physiological and anthropological factors, while written communication has a very short history compared to direct communication.

Nevertheless, with the penetration of information technology into human life, written communication, and in our case Web communication, begins to occupy a significant part in the overall structure of communication. Mankind invents new methods not only to convey information to each other, but also to manifest its character and show its individuality. It is a phenomenon that is developing right before our eyes and has the potential for further study.

#### **References:**

1. Алтухова Т.В. Соотношение элементов устной и письменной речи в виртуальной коммуникации // Сибирский филологический журнал. 2012. №1. [Электронный ресурс] URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/sootnoshenie-elementov-ustnoy-i-pismennoy-rechi-v-virtualnoy-kommunikatsii> (дата обращения: 21.03.2021)
2. Демидова И.В. Билингвизм в современном обществе // Актуальные вопросы иберо-романского языкознания. Материалы российской конференции с международным участием. Научный редактор Плеухова Е.А.; Составители: Колабинова Т.И., Газизова Л.Г. Казань: Казанский федеральный университет, 2015. 183 с.
3. Коротких Е.Г. Нерегулярные словообразовательные модели в сфере англоязычной электронной коммуникации // Мир науки, культуры, образования. 2010. №1(20). С. 78-80.
4. Семина В.В. Особенности различных акцентов и их влияние на процесс коммуникации. В сборнике: Язык и культура в эпоху интеграции научного знания и профессионализации образования. Материалы III международной научно-практической конференции. В 2-х частях. 2019. С. 140-149.
5. Тюленева В.Н., Шушарина И.А. Язык Интернета: характеристика, особенности и влияние на речь // Вестник Курганского государственного университета. 2018. №1(48). [Электронный ресурс] URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/yazyk-interneta-harakteristika-osobennosti-i-vliyanie-na-rech> (дата обращения: 12.03.2021)
6. Шарипова В.А., Елинсон М.А., Исхакова О.С. Интернет-коммуникация: Новые возможности и способы опосредованного общения или деградация языка // Вестник Башкирского университета. 2019. №1. [Электронный ресурс] URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/internet-kommunikatsiya-novye-vozmozhnosti-i-sposoby-oposredovannogo-obscheniya-ili-degradatsiya-yazyka> (дата обращения: 01.03.2021)
7. Crystal D. Language and the Internet. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2001. p. 47
8. Crystal, D. Rediscover Grammar. L. Longman. 2004. 253 p.